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**Summary**

The European Union’s (EU) Renewable Energy Directive of 2009 required each Member State to submit a National Renewable Energy Action Plan by June 2010, to indicate how it would meet its legally binding 2020 targets for renewable energy. As part of this, Member States were asked to provide data about the quantities of solid biomass produced domestically or imported, and about the energy which might be derived from that biomass.

Analysis of these data and trade statistics reveals that the quantity of wood required to satisfy the 2020 targets is likely to be too large to be met by increased production within the EU. Instead, Member States will have to rely on importing wood products from elsewhere, at the risk of damaging ecosystems in other parts of the world, while actually increasing the EU’s own carbon footprint.

Assuming that the mix of product types remains the same, Member States will need to use between 50 and 100 per cent more wood than is currently consumed as fuel.

Most of the increase in imports is likely to be for electricity generation, probably in the form of wood pellets supplied to a small number of large power stations. The most likely sources are Canada and the USA, and perhaps also Russia (if the risks associated with imports from Russia do not become prohibitive when the EU’s Illegal Timber Regulation is fully implemented in 2013).

Production of roundwood in North America fell during the economic downturn at the end of the last decade – and coincidentally, the shortfall is comparable to the additional volume of roundwood that will be required to meet the EU’s 2020 targets. Therefore, competition for suitable wood is likely to increase. Sustainability, particularly in the ‘catchment’ area of large wood pellet plants, may become difficult to maintain if, as is likely, the market for wood fuel within North America expands, and also if levels of timber and paper consumption in the region rebound to their pre-recession level.

The data provided in the Appendix indicates that the increase in Member States’ use of wood for fuel will probably be between 100 and 200 million cubic metres and that in most Member States, the area of productive woodland that currently exists is unlikely to be large enough to meet the substantial increases in the volume of woody biomass required.

In some Member States it is possible that changes in forest management practice could result in increased supplies of woody biomass, but this would take years (given the time it takes for trees to grow) and might not be consistent with sustainable forestry practices.

The report concludes that if left unchanged, future flows of biomass from and to the EU risks not only damaging ecosystems in other parts of the world, but will also increasing the EU own carbon footprint.

---

1 Much of the woodland in Germany is said to be over-mature.
This report assesses the consumption of wood-based products in the context of the National Renewable Energy Action Plans (NREAPs) which EU Member States have prepared to show how they intend to meet targets set by the European Commission to ensure that 20 per cent of EU-wide energy consumption derives from renewable energy by 2020. It does so with reference to other forms of biomass.

Unfortunately, these NREAPs are an insufficient policy response to the increasing urgency with which deep cuts in greenhouse gas emissions associated with consumption must be made worldwide (except by those whose carbon footprint is minimal) if the target to which governments around the world have committed themselves is to be met. Given the gas and particulate emissions attributable to the life cycle of wood fuel (from planting to extraction, processing, transporting and disposal), wood-based fuel is not carbon-neutral.

It is unclear how the NREAPs would be affected by changes in subsidies and taxation or indeed by changes in other input costs (particularly transportation), the price of alternative fuels (especially fossil fuel) and competition for raw material with alternative end-uses.

The sources of fuel described in the NREAPs include solid biomass. However, most of the NREAPs give little indication of the extent to which wood-based products – either in general or as specific products – contribute to the energy anticipated from solid biomass. Nor do they discuss the differences in energy content or probable sources of the components of that solid biomass.

Firewood and charcoal are, and wood chips, mill residues, wood pellets and logs can be, produced specifically for use as fuel. This report refers to these six products as ‘primary woody biomass’. The term ‘woody biomass’ comprises all these products but also includes the wooden products (including furniture but excluding pulp, paper and fuel) which are made from them. Some, perhaps much, primary woody biomass derives from sources such as private gardens which are not included in national statistics of roundwood production, and which are unlikely to be traded.

Particularly if traded, wood chips, mill residues and logs tend to be used as raw material for making pulp, panels such as particleboard or, in the case of logs, other products. Sawdust is the ingredient of first choice for wood pellets, provided that its raw material is consistent and homogenous. At the end of its life in service, wood (if it is not prohibitively contaminated) can be used as fuel.

The end-use of these products is not apparent from national statistics, and is likely to vary according to local expedients and changes in price and demand.

This report considers the production and trade in firewood, wood chips, mill residues, wood pellets and logs, and compares this with the trade in all wood-based products. This data focuses on the EU and the countries which have potential as suppliers of wood-based fuel. The figures alone, however, do not reveal whether the timberland being used to meet increased demand is being managed in a sustainable way.

Increased quantities of woody biomass are likely to be used primarily either with coal in a small number of large power stations (as in Belgium, Denmark, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom (UK), or widely as domestic or district heating (as in Austria, Finland and Sweden).

---

1 Ensuring that global average temperature does not exceed 2°C above pre-industrial levels.
2 Sweden is progressively taxing fossil fuels in order to become independent of such fuel by 2020. http://www.svebio.se/?p=726
4 If kept dry, pellets tend to be regarded as superior to wood chips particularly because they have a greater energy content per unit of weight than chips. However, energy is expended in drying their wood raw material.
The NREAPs which EU Member States have prepared are meant to include separate, standardised estimates of how much solid biomass they consumed during 2006, and how much they anticipate consuming during 2015 and 2020, both as primary woody biomass and as other biomass. Each NREAP includes a schedule of the quantity of energy which the Member State anticipates generating for electricity, and separately for heating and cooling.

Unfortunately there is much scope for error when converting statistics from one unit of measurement to another (such as from energy to roundwood equivalent volume), particularly for aggregates of products as different as thinnings and wood pellets.

This, coupled with inconsistencies and omissions in the statistics, makes it unwise to draw firm conclusions about the physical quantity of woody biomass which the NREAPs anticipate. However, the quantity used in generating electricity from solid biomass within the EU is likely to double between 2010 and 2020, while the quantity used (perhaps independently from electricity generation) for heating and cooling will increase by about 50 per cent.

Separate data sources tend to confirm the scale of additional woody biomass which may be required within the next ten years or so.

As the data provided in the Appendix indicates, that increase is probably between 100 and 200 million cubic metres. This is the same order of magnitude as the amount by which production of roundwood in Canada and the USA declined during the second half of last decade (see Chart 7).

The increase for France, Germany and the UK would be between about 20 and 30 million cubic metres each. It would be between 10 and 20 million cubic metres each for Belgium, Italy, the Netherlands and Spain.

The increase in consumption anticipated for electricity generation tends to be much larger than that for heating and cooling. Given that just a few utility companies and opportunist developers of power projects will account for most of that increase, it is imperative that they make commitments (to the relevant planning authorities) to ensure that the (wood) fuel for their new power stations derives from sustainably managed sources.

In most EU Member States, the area of productive woodland that currently exists is unlikely to be large enough to meet the substantial increases in the volume of woody biomass required. In some Member States it is possible that changes in forest management practice could result in increased supplies of woody biomass, but this would take years (given the time it takes for trees to grow) and might not be consistent with sustainable forestry practices. Discrepancies between what is likely to be feasible and what national plans hope to achieve have been apparent for some time.

---

6 Roundwood equivalent volume (abbreviated herein as RWE volume) is a unit of measure which represents the volume of logs (i.e. roundwood) from which a given quantity of wood-based products derives.
7 Particularly concerning units of measure and in converting between different units of measure.
8 Amongst the most rigorous of these is a study by U. Mantau et al., ‘Real potential for changes in growth and use of EU forests’ for EU wood (06 2010) http://ec.europa.eu/energy/renewables/studies/doc/bioenergy/euwood_final_report.pdf
9 For example MTG in the UK http://www.mtgpower.com/
10 A number of agro–fuel power projects which might use palm oil as their primary fuel have been proposed in the UK. The planning approval process tends to be less rigorous concerning sustainability and legality than it should be (- the legality of products certified under the RSPO is not assured).
11 Much of the woodland in Germany is said to be over-mature.
As Chart 1 indicates, the Netherlands consumes about 35 per cent of the wood pellets imported by the EU. Towards the end of 2010, Belgium, Denmark and Sweden each accounted for a further 10 per cent of the total. By contrast most of the firewood, wood chips and other residues are imported by Finland, Italy and Sweden.

The weight of wood pellets and mill residues imported by the EU has tended to increase more slowly than imports of wood chips. However, the increase in imports of pellets since mid-2010 is attributable primarily to imports by the UK.

During 2010, the energy content of this trade would have been in the order of 150 petajoules and 40 terawatt hours.14

The EU is said to be responsible for the consumption of the great majority of global wood pellet production – roughly 80 per cent of approximately 10 million tonnes during 2009.15

The commercial viability of wood-pellet stoves and wood-pellet power stations is affected by the difference in price of alternative fuels if these are used in competing facilities.16

The use of pellets would increase if it were commercially viable to torrefy them.

---

13 This section is based on data published by Eurostat (CN8, monthly) http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/newctweb/
January 2009 is the first month for which the source published data under heading (HS code) 44013020, which is why the charts in this section do not cover prior time periods. Commentators interpret that heading as referring exclusively to wood pellets, although the word pellet is not included in the official description.

The letters "yt" before dates on the x-axis of the charts is an abbreviation for "year to" – for example, yt 31-12-2010 refers to the twelve month period 01-01-2010 to 31-12-2010 and yt 30-06-2009 refers to the twelve months from 01-07-2008 to 30-06-2009. Presenting data in this way smooths out intra-monthly and seasonal variations.

14 Assuming the following (somewhat notional factors) in giga joules per tonne: 12 (firewood, wood chips, mill residues and logs), 18 (wood pellets). 1petajoule = 10^15 joules = 277 gigawatt hour.

A second source suggests EU consumption amounted to 80% of 12 million tonnes of pellets during 2008 – Slide 3 http://www.pellet.org/linked/2010-12-06%20murray%20northern%20pellet%20symposium.pdf

Chart 2 – The EU’s imports of primary woody biomass from outside the EU (by supplying country)

Chart 2 shows that Canada, Russia and the United States of America (USA) account for most of the wood pellets imported into the EU. The supply of primary woody biomass from those three countries is described in separate sections below.

Comparing those figures with those in Chart 3, it is evident that the EU imports approximately ten times more primary woody biomass than it exports.

Chart 3 – Exports of primary woody biomass from the EU (by exporting country)

Clearly therefore (and given also the scale of intra-EU trade, see Charts 4 and 5) a reduction in the EU’s exports of these products would have negligible impact on their supply to end-users within the EU.
**4 - Trade between EU Member States**

**Chart 4 – Intra-EU imports of primary woody biomass (by importing country)**

**Chart 5 – Intra-EU exports of primary woody biomass (by exporting country)**

---

17 This section is based on data published by Eurostat (CN8, monthly) [http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/newxtweb/](http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/newxtweb/). January 2009 is the first month for which the source published data under heading (HS code) 44013020. Commentators interpret that heading as referring exclusively to wood pellets (although the word pellet is not included in the official description).
Charts 4 and 5 indicate that the trade in wood pellets within the EU has tended to increase since 2009. The same is true for the trade in wood chips and mill residues during 2010. The two charts make it clear that there are substantial discrepancies between the figures given for intra-EU imports and exports, particularly of wood pellets, whereas technically the figures should be the same. The discrepancies make it difficult to formulate and audit EU policy, and reflect inconsistencies in product classification and in identifying the country of origin and/or destination.

Concerning intra-EU trade in wood pellets, Denmark, Italy, and Sweden are the leading importers and have accounted for most of the increase since 2009. Germany, the Baltic States (primarily Latvia), Austria and Portugal are the main suppliers in the intra-EU trade in wood pellets.

Chart 6 – Trends in the weight and import value of intra-EU trade in primary woody biomass

* Wood pellets were classified under the same commodity code as mill residues prior to 2009.

Comparing the proportions of each product in the total on the left hand side of Chart 6 with those of the right hand side, it is clear that the unit export value (in Euros per tonne) for wood pellets (and charcoal) considerably exceeds that for firewood, wood chips and mill residues – reflecting the degree to which pellets differ from the products with which they compete as woody biomass fuel.

---

18 Since January 2009, the trade in wood pellets has been assigned a unique commodity code – 44013010 – in the statistics published by Eurostat, which provides bilateral trade statistics on behalf of the EU’s Member States. One might extrapolate the trend in trade in wood pellet backwards from that date by inspection of Chart 6.

19 Where Eurostat provides volume but not weight for logs, the volume to weight ratio assumed in this chart is 1.2 cubic metres per tonne.
5 - Production and trade in roundwood

This section assesses trends in the volume of roundwood extracted from timberland in the countries which currently supply the great majority of the EU’s imports of primary woody biomass.  

Chart 7 – Production of roundwood in selected regions

Chart 7 shows that the production of roundwood in Europe was much the same in 2009 as it had been in the first half of that decade. By contrast, production in North America declined steeply during the second half of the decade, particularly of logs for the timber sector.

Residues from the milling of logs for the paper sector tend already to be utilised as bioenergy, particularly as fuel (notably black liquor) for the paper mills themselves and their neighbouring areas. Similarly, some sawmills derive their energy from the residues which they produce.

Given the quantity of wood raw material consumed by pulp mills, any closure of a mill is likely not only to have an impact on the amount of bioenergy produced in that country, but also to be associated with a decline in logging. Although this would have an adverse impact on the proportion of bioenergy in the country’s mix of energy supplies, it would have a positive impact on sustainability locally. In the global context, if closure of the mill were offset through ‘leakage’ (i.e. increased production elsewhere), then the net impact would tend to be zero. Examples are provided in the following two paragraphs.

The decline in roundwood production in the USA may not reflect an increase in the sustainability of US consumption of wood-based products: because although that decline is primarily attributable to the current economic recession, it is also due partly to the ‘outsourcing’ of production to other countries, most notably China. The roundwood equivalent volume of products which the USA imports from China greatly exceeds that of the wood raw material which it exports to China. The decline in production in the USA during the four years 2006–2009 would have generated in the order of 1,500 petajoules of energy if used as fuel.

The success of European (particularly Finnish) enterprises in exporting machinery to new pulp and paper mills during recent years will have contributed to the decline in roundwood production in Finland which is shown in Chart 8.

---

20 These countries include those covered by the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE). The UNECE’s annual publication ‘Forest Product Statistics’ is the source of the data presented in Charts 7, 8 and 9.

21 The amendment of the Lacey Act (which came into effect after recession in the USA became evident) appears to have had little impact on the quantity and direction of supply of wood-based products to the USA.

22 Assuming 9 gigajoules per tonne, 1.4 cubic metres per tonne, and 50 million cubic metres per year.
The discontinuity between 2004 and 2005 in data for production of firewood in France presumably reflects a change in definition of both the product and the range of sources.

Chart 9 indicates that roundwood production during the last decade tended to increase in Turkey and Ukraine, but changed little overall elsewhere. However, source data for several countries in Europe, including Belarus and Ukraine, have remained unchanged for a number of years. In contrast, EU-27 imports of timber sector products (mainly sawn wood) from these countries tended to decrease during 2008 and 2009 (and those from Belarus decreased throughout the second half of last decade).
6 - The USA

Chart 10 - Exports of wood chips and mill residues (including wood pellets) from the USA

Chart 10 indicates that the weight of wood chips and mill residue (including wood pellets) exported from the USA to the EU (roughly 10% of the total export during 2010) is dwarfed by that exported to Canada and Japan. In 2010, however, in terms of export value the EU accounted for almost as large a proportion of the total as Canada and Japan – reflecting the difference in unit export value between wood pellets (mainly exported to the EU) and wood chips (mainly exported to Canada and Japan).

Chart 11 - Exports of mill residues from the USA

---

Chart 11 illustrates the surge in exports of mill residues from the USA during 2008 and 2009. Belgium, the Netherlands and the UK now account for almost all the total, most of which comprises wood pellets made in a small number of new mills and shipped from Mobile (Alabama) and Tampa (Florida).

The quantity exported to the EU was lower in 2010 than in 2009, perhaps because of the closure of a large pellet plant in Selmer (Alabama) which was having difficulties concerning its finances (despite subsidies) and the quality of its pellets.24

Some, perhaps most, of the large wood-pellet plants which supply the EU from the USA are at least partly owned by investors based in the EU. Structural changes in the USA’s paper and timber sectors have helped plantations and forests in the southern states to mature. Exporting pellets to the EU (primarily for use as fuel to generate electricity) will tend to reverse the decline in the region’s logging industry.

There is considerable interest in producing wood pellets in north-eastern states of the USA, notably Maine, both for export to the EU and to stimulate sales locally to consumers who are not connected to natural gas networks.25

By importing wood pellets, the EU is outsourcing the production of its carbon-based fuel. Under the (still current) Kyoto Protocol this enables the EU to claim that its carbon emissions are decreasing, as signatories to the protocol must report their emissions from production rather than consumption or both.

In the longer term, the availability of raw material for the USA’s exports of wood pellets will tend to decline as a consequence of efforts to increase the proportion of the national energy consumption that is supplied from ‘renewable’ sources – 25 per cent by 2025. Proposed legislation, which would support such a Renewable Energy Standard has not been accepted.26 The quality of the plans which state governments in the USA have devised in order to meet that target is said to be poor and to have been influenced by political considerations (the fossil fuel lobby). Relevant industry groups continue to advocate for that “25 per cent by 2025” target.27 The USA is said to subsidise the production of pellets by US$45 per tonne.28

If the EU’s Illegal Timber Regulation is fit for purpose and rigorously implemented, then importers in the USA might follow the example of their EU counterparts and reduce their exposure to wood-based products of uncertain origin supplied from China, which would help revitalise the USA’s wood-processing sector.

German and Swedish enterprises (RWE Innogy and BMC) have jointly invested in a large (750,000 tonne/year) wood pellet mill at Waycross, Georgia. Due to become operational in 2011, the mill will use its output to co-fire a power station at Amer, in the Netherlands,29 before being switched to supply a plant in Tilbury, UK.30

A Swedish enterprise (JCE)31 owns the 560,000 tonne/year mill of Green Core Biomass (in Cottonville, Florida). That mill has been constructed in order to supply the EU market.

The availability of sawdust, the most usual wood raw material for pellets has decreased over recent years as the recession has led to reduced sales of sawn timber. Pellet manufacturers have tended to use wood chips and even logs in order to supplement the sawdust that remains available at a competitive price.

25 Natural gas is a major competitor of wood pellets for residential heating.
26 The “American Clean Air Act 2009” as proposed by congressmen Waxman and Markey.
29 http://biomassmagazine.com/articles/3546/Georgia-biomass-will-ship-pellets-to-europe
31 http://www.jcegroup.se/the_jce_story/green_circle_bio_energy.aspx
Chart 12 - Exports of wood chips and mill residues from Canada

Chart 12 indicates that, during 2010, the EU (primarily the Netherlands and the UK) was the destination for almost two thirds of Canada’s exports of wood chips and mill residues in terms of export value. Wood pellets comprise a large majority of those exports to the EU.33

Chart 13 - Exports of mill residues from Canada


33 Based on assessment of import statistics published by Eurostat, Japan Customs, US International Trade Commission Trade DataWeb
Chart 13 indicates that British Columbia accounts for most of the mill residues which are exported from Canada – particularly to the EU, even though British Columbia is further away from the EU than any other Canadian province except Yukon.

Canada’s other exports of mill residues to the EU are supplied from Nova Scotia and, to a lesser extent, New Brunswick.

Discussion

Suppliers’ profits have declined considerably as a consequence of changes in the exchange rate between the Canadian dollar and the Euro.\(^{34}\) Most of Canada’s production of wood pellets is exported to the EU,\(^{35}\) though some is exported to Japan.\(^{36}\) In both destinations, the pellets are used primarily as fuel for large power stations co-fired with coal. British Columbia supplies most of those pellets.

The proportion of the EU’s imports of wood pellets which is supplied from British Columbia has decreased in recent years, transportation costs having become prohibitive (and volatile).

The province is seeking to offset the decline in demand for its pellets from the EU by exporting to South Korea.\(^{37}\)

A number of provinces plan to reduce their use of fossil fuel for heating. If those plans are implemented, then local demand will tend to divert supplies away from export markets.

In Newfoundland and Labrador, consumption of woody biomass is likely to have increased as a consequence of the government reimbursing 25 per cent of the retail price paid by residential users for the purchase of new wood stoves.\(^{38}\)

The area of forest in British Columbia which has been affected by an exceptionally severe epidemic of mountain pine beetle is very large. The export of wood-pellet fuel from the province to the EU partly reflects efforts to maximise the commercial value of the affected trees (which declines to zero over a period of 15 years).


See also slide 10 [http://www.pellet.org/linked/2010-07%20gordon-murray%20pfi.pdf](http://www.pellet.org/linked/2010-07%20gordon-murray%20pfi.pdf)


\(^{37}\) Presentation by Gordon Murray at Wood Pellet conference, Wels, 2011 (03 03 2011) [http://www.nr.gov.nl.ca/nr/forms/forestry/wood_pellet_rebate_application.pdf](http://www.nr.gov.nl.ca/nr/forms/forestry/wood_pellet_rebate_application.pdf)

\(^{38}\) [http://www.nr.gov.nl.ca/nr/forms/forestry/wood_pellet_rebate_application.pdf](http://www.nr.gov.nl.ca/nr/forms/forestry/wood_pellet_rebate_application.pdf)
Chart 14 indicates that Denmark and Sweden each accounted for roughly one third of the weight of wood pellets which were imported into the EU from Russia towards the end of 2010. Finland imports most of the weight of wood chips and mill residues imported into the EU. The weight of wood chips is increasing rapidly, not least to help offset the reduction in the volume of wood logs which had previously been imported for Finland’s pulp industry but which are now subject to increased export tariffs on departure from Russia.

A very large pellet plant should be fully operational in Russia by the end of 2011; the Vyborgskaya Cellulose mill will have an annual output capacity of one million tonnes and its pellets will be sold mainly on the spot market. Other substantial pellet plants are planned. However, some plants have closed, particularly as a consequence of changes in exchange rates and an increase in the cost of Russian wood raw material. During 2009, just two enterprises supplied approximately 40 per cent of the 600,000 tonnes of wood pellets exported from Russia to the EU.

### Discussion

Russia revised its Forestry Code during 2006, but the revised code is unclear and dysfunctional. Consequently, it will be difficult for those who first place wood-based products from Russia on the EU market to carry out due diligence when, from 3 March 2013, the EU’s Illegal Timber Regulation applies fully.

---


40 Final three paragraphs, page 2, presentation by Olga Rakitova at Pellet Conference, Wels (03 03 2011).

41 Final three paragraphs, page 1, presentation by Olga Rakitova at Pellet Conference, Wels (03 03 2011).


44 There is now considerable Russian interest in a potential Voluntary Partnership Agreement with the EU concerning the supply of wood-based products – final paragraph, page 18 ‘FLEGT 6th Annual Coordination Meeting’ (01 2011) [http://www.euflegt.efi.int/files/attachments/euflegt/flegtweek_finalreport_en.pdf](http://www.euflegt.efi.int/files/attachments/euflegt/flegtweek_finalreport_en.pdf)
For the same reasons, it will be difficult to audit legal compliance for certification schemes such as that of the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) – a substantial proportion of the wood-based products which are imported into the EU from Russia derives from FSC-certified forest.

Nevertheless, agents for the Vyborgskaya pellet mill claim that documentation which confirms the legality of its wood raw material supplies is currently available.\(^{45}\)

Finland’s timber and paper sectors had a scheme which assessed the provenance of logs supplied from Russia. However, the volume of logs imported into Finland from Russia has greatly declined since 2005, making the scheme less cost-effective. Further, it is unclear whether Finland's biomass energy sector would adopt a similar scheme in order to comply with the Illegal Timber Regulation when importing firewood, wood chips, mill residues and wood pellets from Russia.

As Chart 15 indicates, the volume of logs exported from Russia\(^{46}\) to the EU-27 declined markedly in the second half of the last decade, primarily as a consequence of the increase in export tariffs levied by Russia, and destination markets being hit by the recession. However, the volume of logs being imported into the EU (primarily Finland) from Russia has now started to rise again.

Owing to the risks of doing business in Russia, and the recession in the EU, the increase in tariffs has not led to an increase in investment in export-oriented production capacity sufficient to offset much of the decline in the volume of logs exported.

**Chart 15 – Russia’s exports of wood-based products\(^{47}\)**

As climate change causes the tundra to melt (and methane to be released, in turn accelerating climate change further), ground conditions in some areas currently suitable for logging may become unsuitable.

Statistics about the volume of standing timber in Russia are likely to be misleading if they do not distinguish between timberland of substantial commercial value and that which has little or no commercial value. The cost of transportation on the current road, rail and river network should also be taken into account when assessing whether timberland is likely to be of commercial value to exporters of wood-based fuel to the EU.

\(^{45}\) Intervention by Ekman representative, Wood Pellet conference, Wels (03 03 2011).

\(^{46}\) Most of the wood-based products imported into the EU from Russia derive from timberland in the north west.

Brazil is considered by some to be the EU's most promising potential source of wood-based fuel in the southern hemisphere, due particularly to its infrastructure and proximity. However, given that wood plantations in Brazil (and elsewhere) – which account for most of Brazil's exports of wood-based products – are not necessarily managed sustainably and suitable land is increasingly scarce, it would not be prudent to assume that wood-based fuel exported from Brazil would meet EU criteria for sustainability. The wood raw material used in making most of the timber and almost all the pulp and paper which is exported from Brazil derives from plantations. Most of the tropical timber which is logged in Brazil is being used in Brazil.

As Chart 16 indicates, the USA accounted for most of the change in the roundwood equivalent volume of timber exported from Brazil over the last decade, and paper sector exports (predominantly pulp) accounted for a much greater roundwood equivalent volume than the timber sector during 2009.

**Chart 16 – Brazil's exports of wood-based products**
10 – Other potential sources of supply

Belarus, Norway, Switzerland and Ukraine supply a substantial volume of primary woody biomass to the EU, mainly as mill residues and logs, mill residues, and (in rapidly increasing quantity) firewood and logs respectively. Croatia and, to a lesser extent, Bosnia-Herzegovina account for a smaller proportion of the total supplied from non-EU Europe (see Chart 17).

Chart 18 shows that Australia, Chile, South Africa and Vietnam currently export large quantities of wood chips, mainly to Japan and, increasingly, China. The cost of transportation from Australia, Chile and Vietnam might make supplies from these two countries uncompetitive in the EU market. Although most of the wood chips which are produced in South Africa derive from FSC-certified plantations, there is growing evidence that those plantations are not being managed sustainably.48

Brazil, Indonesia, Uruguay and to a lesser extent Congo (Brazzaville)49 supply substantial (but smaller) quantities of wood chips.

Chart 17 – EU-27 imports of wood chips, residues and logs from selected countries in Europe

---

48 See among others: www.wrm.org.uy and www.fscwatch.org

49 The country’s only large plantation used to export eucalyptus logs to pulp mills in Europe and North Africa.
Chart 18 – Imports of wood chips and residues from selected countries
11 – Supplies of other potential forms of bioenergy

Miscanthus (elephant grass) is widely grown in the EU for use as fuel, including in power stations co-fired with coal (such as at the Drax power plant in the UK).

Ethanol is imported into the EU in large quantities, the main supplier from outside the EU being Brazil, where its origin is presumably sugar cane. It is unclear how much of this is used as fuel. However, Chart 17 indicates that the great majority of the total which EU Member States imported during 2010 was supplied from within the EU. Supplies from Brazil accounted for less than 10 per cent of the total.

Chart 19 – EU Member States’ imports of ethanol (HS code 2207) 50

![Graph showing EU Member States’ imports of ethanol (HS code 2207)](image)

Trade statistics for biodiesel (included under the HS codes 1518009*, 27101941 and 3824909)*51 show that although most of the imports into the EU come from countries known for their fossil fuels, substantial amounts also come from Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore. Anti-dumping proceedings have been initiated by the EU against suppliers of biodiesel from the USA.

Most of the palm oil (including palm kernel products) which is imported into the EU is destined for end-use in foodstuff. Indonesia, Malaysia and, to a lesser extent, Colombia and Papua New Guinea supply most of this. Although some of these imports are certified as sustainable palm oil, they are certified under a scheme (the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) which in effect launders the output of plantations established on land illegally cleared prior to December 2005. 52 Unlike for wood-based products, there is no proposal to make it illegal to import illegal palm oil onto the market. Among the public, however, there has been such hostility to the use of palm oil as a fuel in power stations and for vehicles that its use as a fuel may remain rare.

As Chart 20 indicates, Indonesia and Malaysia account for the great majority of the palm oil imported into the EU, and most of this is imported through the Netherlands. The rate of

---

50 Source: Eurostat (CN8, monthly) http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/newtxtweb/
growth seems to have slowed during 2010, perhaps as a consequence of campaigns by consumers and pressure groups such as Greenpeace.

Chart 20 – EU Member States’ imports of palm oil53

53 Source: Eurostat (CN8, monthly) http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/ntwweb/
12 - Conclusion

Analysis of the data and trade statistics looked at in this report shows that the quantity of wood required to satisfy the 2020 targets is likely to be too large to be met by increased production within the EU. Assuming that the mix of product types remains the same, Member States will need to use between 50 and 100 per cent more wood than is currently consumed as fuel. The data provided in the Appendix indicates that increase will probably be between 100 and 200 million cubic metres. In most EU Member States, the area of productive woodland that currently exists is unlikely to be large enough to meet the substantial increases in the volume of woody biomass required.

In some Member States it is possible that changes in forest management practice could result in increased supplies of woody biomass, but this would take years (given the time it takes for trees to grow) and might not be consistent with sustainable forestry practices. Most of the increase in imports will therefore most likely come from Canada, the USA, and perhaps also Russia (if the risks associated with imports from Russia do not become prohibitive when the EU’s Illegal Timber Regulation is fully implemented in 2013). This risks not only damaging ecosystems in other parts of the world, but will also increase the EU’s own carbon footprint.

---

54 Much of the woodland in Germany is said to be over-mature.
Appendix

This section examines the scale and trends of each EU Member State’s trade in wood-based products, focusing on ‘primary woody biomass’.

It also provides brief remarks concerning that trade and the quantities anticipated in the National Renewable Energy Action Plans.

The trade statistics presented here are based on those published in Eurostat (CN8, monthly).\(^55\)

Conversion factors (approximate)

1. 1.4 cubic metres per tonne for air-dried roundwood and firewood\(^56\)
2. 1.15 tonnes of roundwood per tonne of wood chips and wood residues
3. 12 megawatt hours per tonne oil equivalent
4. 42 gigajoules per tonne oil equivalent
5. 0.2 to 0.4 tonne oil equivalent per tonne of woody biomass

\(^55\) Suggestions about how to download and process this source data are available from http://www.efi.int/portal/projects/flegt/trade_statistics_data/

\(^56\) The potential for error in this notional amount may be as much as 30%, depending on the moisture content of different forms of solid woody biomass and the density of different tree species.
No change anticipated by NREAP, no change in imports of primary woody biomass during 2010. Primary woody biomass is a major component of imports for the timber sector. Woody biomass already intensively farmed for local and district heating.
Belgium’s NREAP anticipates a three-to-fourfold increase in energy consumption from solid biomass but little change in supply from within Belgium. The quantity of primary woody biomass imported declined during 2010, as it did in the preceding years.
Bulgaria’s NREAP anticipates a modest increase in consumption as energy. There is little trade in primary woody biomass.
Cyprus’s NREAP anticipates a negligible contribution from solid biomass. Trade in primary woody biomass is negligible too.
In the Czech Republic, the NREAP anticipates the use of solid biomass for energy to almost double between 2010 and 2020. However, imports of primary woody biomass, which grew rapidly during the first two or three quarters of 2010, seem subsequently to have declined. The Czech Republic is a net exporter of timber sector products.
Danish NREAP anticipates the doubling of electricity generation from solid biomass. The substantial quantity of pellets already being imported will presumably need to greatly increase.
In Estonia, little change is anticipated by the NREAP. Imports of primary woody biomass were low during 2010. Estonia is a net exporter of timber, but the quantities traded declined steeply during the second half of last decade.
In Finland, production and trade in wood-based products declined at the end of the last decade. Consequently, energy production from woody biomass (including black liquor) is likely also to have reduced. Imports of primary woody biomass increased strongly during 2010, especially from Russia. The NREAP anticipates a 50 per cent increase in consumption of solid biomass as energy between 2010 and 2020.\(^{57}\)

\(^{57}\) This decline presumably does not reflect the closure of pulp and paper mills which generate energy from mill residues, because much of that energy derives from liquid biomass (black liquor)
France’s NREAP anticipates a large increase in consumption of solid biomass as energy. Imports of primary woody biomass declined between 2007 and 2009 but increased during 2010. The quality of the primary woody biomass exported from France is generally greater than that which is likely to be used directly as fuel. The roundwood equivalent volume of timber sector products imported into France during 2009 is similar to the amount by which the NREAP anticipates consumption of solid biomass as fuel should have increased by 2020.
Germany's NREAP anticipates considerable growth in consumption of solid biomass as energy, particularly electricity generation. Germany could probably meet its NREAP targets for heating and cooling by increasing the rate at which mature forest is logged and/or by greatly reducing its exports of timber sector products. Those exports are of much greater quality and command a much higher price than the sort of timber which one might normally burn. The increase in roundwood equivalent volume which the NREAP anticipates is similar to that which was imported into Germany for the timber sector during 2009.
The NREAP of Greece anticipates little change in the quantity of solid biomass which is consumed for energy.
Hungary’s NREAP anticipates an increase of about 50 per cent in the production of energy from solid biomass between 2010 and 2020. The quantity of primary woody biomass increased during 2010, reversing the trend experienced during the second half of last decade.
Ireland’s NREAP anticipates that production of energy from solid biomass will more than double between 2010 and 2020, while there will be little change in production of solid biomass within Ireland itself. The quantity of primary woody biomass imported into Ireland tended to decline during both the second half of the last decade and 2010.
Italy’s NREAP anticipates that production of energy from solid biomass will more than double between 2010 and 2020. However, since the middle of the last decade, the quantity of primary woody biomass imported into Italy has tended to decline. Italy’s imports of wood pellets (from within the EU) are rapidly increasing.
Latvia is a net exporter of timber. Its NREAP anticipates little change in the production of energy from solid biomass.
Lithuania’s NREAP anticipates that a small but increasing quantity of solid biomass will be used for energy production between 2010 and 2020. Primary woody biomass accounts for much of the roundwood equivalent volume which is imported or exported from Lithuania.
Primary woody biomass accounts for a substantial proportion of the timber which is imported into Luxembourg. The NREAP anticipates that there will be very little change in the quantities destined for energy.
The NREAP for Malta anticipates that solid biomass will continue to be used as energy in small quantities. Malta has negligible trade in solid woody biomass.
The NREAP of the Netherlands anticipates a doubling in the amount of electricity generated from solid biofuels between 2010 and 2020. A large quantity of pellets was imported in 2010, but latterly there has been a decline, partly because of difficulties with supplies from the USA.
Poland is a net exporter of timber. Its imports of primary woody biomass, almost all of which consisted of logs, increased steeply during 2010.

The NREAP anticipates there being only a small increase in production of woody biomass between 2010 and 2020.

---

58 Statistics for the bilateral trade of Poland have only been published by the source since mid-2004.
Portugal’s NREAP anticipates little change in the quantity of solid biomass consumption between now and 2020.
In Romania, the NREAP anticipates little change in the production of energy from solid biomass. Romania is a net exporter of wood.
Slovakia's NREAP anticipates a modest increase in the consumption of energy in Slovenia.

59 Data for Slovakia have only been published by the source since mid-2004.
Slovenia’s imports of primary woody biomass during 2010 tended to increase.
Spain’s NREAP anticipates that a doubling of consumption of solid biomass for electricity generation will be necessary. Spain is unlikely to be able to meet its NREAP target sustainably without importing. The sustainability of wood plantations in north-western Spain has been questioned.
Sweden – a net exporter of timber sector products – plans to eliminate fossil fuel from its economy by 2020 and should be able to meet its NREAP targets without importing more wood, although there may be competition for raw material with export markets. Sweden’s imports of primary woody biomass (particularly logs) tended to increase during 2010.
Most of the very large increase in solid biomass anticipated in the UK’s NREAP is for electricity generation and is similar in magnitude to the roundwood equivalent volume of timber sector products which entered end-use within the UK during 2009. The power stations would require planning permission and consideration of the sustainability of fuel supplies.\textsuperscript{60}

\textsuperscript{60} But such consideration might not be rigorous judging by the success and continued efforts of developers in promoting palm oil and its derivatives as a possible fuel for new power stations – see http://www.biofuelwatch.org.uk
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